

1 LEONARDO M. RAPADAS
United States Attorney
2 ERIC S. O'MALLEY
Assistant United States Attorney
3 DISTRICT OF THE NORTHERN
MARIANA ISLANDS
4 Horiguchi Building, Third Floor
P.O. Box 500377
5 Saipan, MP 96950
Telephone: (670) 236-2980
6 Fax: (670) 236-2985

7 Attorneys for United States of America

8 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
9 **NORTHERN MARIANA ISLANDS**

10 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,) Criminal Case No. 08-00014
11)
12)

11 Plaintiff,)

12 v.)

13 **GOVERNMENT'S RESPONSE**
14 **TO DEFENDANTS' MOTION**
15 **FOR BILL OF PARTICULARS**

14 KALEN, LAWRENCE SABLAN;)
15 KALEN, GREGGORY SABLAN; and)
16 SABLAN, MANUEL ALDAN)

17 Defendants.)
18 _____
19

20 COMES NOW the United States of America, by its undersigned counsel, in response to
21 defendants Lawrence Sablan Kalen, Gregory Sablan Kalen and Manuel Aldan Sablan's Motion for
22 a Bill of Particulars. The government respectfully submits that the Indictment adequately informs
23 the defendants of the essential facts and elements of the charges, and in conjunction with furnished
24 discovery, provides them with sufficient information to both prepare their cases and to avoid double
25 jeopardy concerns. The government will timely provide additional discovery material to the
26 defendants as required by the Federal Rules of Criminal Procedure, by law, or by order of the Court.
27
28

1 ARGUMENT

2 The government first notes that Title 21, United States Code, Section 846, the statute governing
3 drug conspiracies, does not require an overt act; therefore the charging instrument need not describe one.
4 United States v. Shabani, 513 U.S. 10, 15-16 (1994). Nor is the government required to identify the
5 specific dates or give the names of the conspirators. United States v. DiCesare, 765 F.2d 890, 897-98
6 (9th Cir. 1985). While defendants in this case complain that the Indictment states conclusions “as if
7 quoting from a statute,” an indictment that tracks the words of the statute is generally sufficient. United
8 States v. Johnson, 804 F.2d 1078, 1084 (9th Cir. 1986). Count One alleges that the defendants knowingly
9 and intentionally entered an agreement to distribute “ice”, spanning a twelve-day period, in the District
10 of the Northern Mariana Islands. Though basic, this fulfills the purpose of a charging instrument. See
11 United States v. Dunn, 841 F.2d 1026, 1029 (10th Cir. 1988)(sufficient to track language of statute,
12 provided the indictment also includes the approximate dates, the place of the transaction, and the type
13 of controlled substance distributed).

14 CONCLUSION

15 For the reasons stated herein, the government respectfully requests that the defendants’
16 motion be denied.

17
18 Respectfully submitted this 29th day of May 2008.

19
20 LEONARDO M. RAPADAS
21 United States Attorney
22 District of the Northern Mariana Islands

23 /s/ Eric S. O’Malley
24 ERIC S. O’MALLEY
25 Assistant U.S. Attorney
26
27
28